

Master ☐
Degree grade:
Doctor ☐

Xuzhou Medical University Graduate Student Project Design Deliberation Form

Name_____ Grade_____ Degree type_____ Mentor's name_____
School/department _____ Specialty_____
Research topic _____

Research purpose: A. Clear B. Quite clear C. Undefined D. Not clear

The knowledge of progress at home and abroad:
A. very comprehensive B. comprehensive C. partial D. little

The scientific aspect of the selected topic:
A. Very good B. Good C. Ordinary D. Bad

The advancement of the selected topic:
A. Internationally advanced B. Domestically leading
C. Domestically advanced D. Domestically general

The novelty of the selected topic:
A. No similar experiments internationally
B. No similar experiments in China
C. Making improvement based on previous researches in China
D. Repeating other's work in China

Application value of the selected topic:
A. Very good B. Good C. Ordinary D. Bad

Theoretical significance of the selected topic:
A. Very good B. Good C. Ordinary D. Bad

Difficulty of the research work:
A. Very difficult B. Difficult C. Ordinary D. Little difficult

Feasibility of the research work:
A. Very good B. Good C. Ordinary D. Bad

Workload of the research work:
A. Very much B. Much C. Common D. Small

Rationality of the experimental design:
A. Very good B. Good C. Ordinary D. Bad

Research tools and methods to be adopted:
A. International B. Domestically leading
C. Domestically general D. Comparatively lagging behind

Indicators to be observed:

- | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|
| (1) A. Very sensitive | B. Sensitive | C. Ordinary | D. Insensitive |
| (2) A. Very distinctive | B. Distinctive | C. Ordinary | D. Indistinctive |

The data processing methods to be adopted:

- | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| A. Very reasonable | B. Reasonable | C. Ordinary | D. Unreasonable |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|

The results of the preliminary experiment:

- | | | | |
|------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------|
| A. Very credible | B. Credible | C. Poorly credible | D. Incredible |
|------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------|

Designer's prediction of the results:

- | | | | |
|------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------|
| A. Very credible | B. Credible | C. Poorly credible | D. Incredible |
|------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------|

The ability of the reporter to express himself/herself:

- | | | | |
|--------------|---------|-------------|--------|
| A. Very good | B. Good | C. Ordinary | D. Bad |
|--------------|---------|-------------|--------|

The reporter's thinking and reacting ability:

- | | | | |
|--------------|---------|-------------|--------|
| A. Very good | B. Good | C. Ordinary | D. Bad |
|--------------|---------|-------------|--------|

Overall evaluation of the design: _____ points

Excellent (>85 points) Good (75-85 points) Ordinary (60-75 points) Bad (<60 points)

Note: The full score for an opening report without preliminary experiments or a second-time opening report is 95 points.

Comments or suggestions from the reviewer on the design: